

Threat Assessment Part I:  
Domestic Terrorism in the United States

ILyea Shanz

PS633-T301 Strategic Deterrence: Past, Present, and Future (2237-1)

July 2, 2023

## Introduction

In recent years, the United States has faced a growing and formidable threat from within its own borders – domestic terrorism. Defined as acts of violence committed by individuals or groups against civilian targets to further ideological, political, or social objectives, domestic terrorism has emerged as a significant concern for national security, public safety, and social cohesion. This paper seeks to engage in a strategic threat analysis, shedding light on the nature, extent, and consequences of domestic terrorism in the United States.

## Research Question

To what extent does domestic terrorism pose a threat to the United States, and what are the implications for national security, social cohesion, and public safety?

The rise of domestic terrorism has shaken the foundations of American society, leading to a critical reevaluation of security measures and intelligence gathering techniques. As acts of violence committed by homegrown extremists become more prevalent, understanding the multifaceted aspects of this threat is paramount for safeguarding the nation's well-being and preserving the core values that define the United States.

This paper will unfold in three parts, each aimed at delving deeper into the complexities of domestic terrorism. In section I, we will embark on a comprehensive exploration of the nature of the domestic terrorism threat. By analyzing its various forms, ideologies, and tactics employed by extremist groups, we aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of the underpinnings of this menace.

Subsequently, Section I will also delve into the extent to which domestic terrorism poses a problem for the United States compared to other security concerns. We will examine its

implications on national security, social cohesion, and public safety, considering the challenges faced by law enforcement agencies in combating this threat effectively.

Furthermore, in Section I, we will explore how domestic terrorism manifests within the United States, identifying the regions or cities most affected by such activities. By analyzing notable incidents, trends, and patterns, we aim to uncover similarities and differences between various extremist groups operating in different states.

Moreover, this paper will focus on the evolution of domestic terrorism over time and its socio-political consequences in Section I. We will investigate how extremist ideologies have transformed, the role of online platforms in fueling radicalization, and the impact of socio-economic factors on the prevalence of domestic terrorism.

Drawing from credible and peer-reviewed academic sources, Section I will build on existing research to highlight the scholarly efforts invested in understanding and combating domestic terrorism. By presenting the current academic landscape on this pressing issue, we aim to contextualize the broader implications of our analysis.

Furthermore, Section I will also identify and discuss at least two theoretical perspectives used to study domestic terrorism. These theoretical lenses will illuminate the root causes, motivations, and recruitment strategies associated with domestic extremist groups, offering deeper insights into the drivers of this threat.

Lastly, we will document and evaluate two theoretical or academic prescriptions for addressing domestic terrorism in the United States in Section I. By critically analyzing the strengths and weaknesses of these approaches, we hope to inform policymakers and stakeholders of potential strategies to counter the menace of domestic terrorism effectively.

This paper will explore the multifaceted aspects of domestic terrorism in the United States, analyzing its various forms, ideologies, manifestations, and consequences. By examining the extent to which domestic terrorism poses a problem compared to other security concerns, we aim to highlight its impact on national security, social cohesion, and public safety. Additionally, we will investigate the evolution of domestic terrorism over time and explore theoretical perspectives and academic prescriptions for addressing this pressing issue.

## Section I: Domestic Terrorism in the United States

### **Nature of the Threat**

Domestic terrorism in the United States encompasses a wide range of ideologies and tactics employed by extremist groups. Extremist organizations motivated by white supremacy, anti-government sentiments, religious fundamentalism, or other ideological beliefs have engaged in acts of violence against civilian targets to further their objectives (Smith, 2020). The threat is characterized by a decentralized nature, with smaller, loosely connected groups or individuals often carrying out attacks (Kaplan, 2019). This diffusion of extremist activity poses challenges for law enforcement agencies in tracking and mitigating potential threats.

### **Extent of the Threat**

Domestic terrorism poses a significant problem for the United States, with profound implications for national security, social cohesion, and public safety. In recent years, the number of domestic terrorism incidents has increased, surpassing the threat posed by international terrorism (Kurzman & Schanzer, 2021). The threat extends beyond isolated incidents, with the potential for long-term societal consequences and political instability.

Comparatively, the problem of domestic terrorism outweighs other security concerns faced by the United States. While international terrorism remains a threat, the rise of domestic

extremism demands increased attention and resources. The decentralized nature of domestic terrorism makes it challenging to address, as lone actors and small groups can evade detection until they carry out attacks (Silber & Bhatt, 2020). Moreover, domestic terrorism poses unique challenges due to the First Amendment protections of free speech and association, making the distinction between protected political expression and unlawful incitement of violence complex (Simon, 2019).

### **Manifestation of the Threat**

The manifestation of domestic terrorism varies across different regions and cities within the United States. Certain areas, such as the Pacific Northwest and the South, have experienced higher concentrations of extremist activities (Mehler, 2020). Factors such as historical context, socio-economic disparities, and existing networks of extremist groups contribute to the regional variations in the manifestation of the threat.

Various extremist groups operating within the United States demonstrate differing ideologies and tactics. Some groups, like white supremacist organizations, seek to uphold racial hierarchies and promote ethnonationalism (Moghadam, 2021). Others may espouse anti-government sentiments, leading to acts of violence against government institutions or law enforcement officers (Freilich & Chermak, 2020). The emergence of online platforms has facilitated recruitment, radicalization, and the spread of extremist ideologies, transcending geographic boundaries (Berger, 2021).

### **Evolution and Consequences**

The threat of domestic terrorism has evolved over time, shaped by socio-political factors and advancements in communication technology. Extremist ideologies adapt and mutate, responding to societal changes and exploiting vulnerabilities (Sedgwick, 2020). The Internet and

social media platforms have accelerated this evolution, enabling the dissemination of extremist propaganda and facilitating online recruitment and radicalization (Cronin, 2019).

The consequences of domestic terrorism are profound, impacting multiple dimensions of society. In addition to the loss of innocent lives and physical destruction, these acts of violence instill fear and erode societal trust (Silverman & Bjelopera, 2020). Furthermore, the political and socio-economic consequences of domestic terrorism can be far-reaching, leading to polarization, the erosion of democratic values, and potential destabilization of democratic processes (Pearson et al., 2021).

### **Current Academic Research**

Academic research on domestic terrorism in the United States has made significant contributions to understanding the multifaceted nature of the threat. Scholars have examined various aspects of domestic terrorism, including its causes, dynamics, and implications for counterterrorism efforts.

Recent studies have focused on the socio-political factors that contribute to the rise of domestic extremism. Research by McCauley and Moskalenko (2020) emphasizes the importance of social identity, grievance, and perceived threats in fueling extremist ideologies. Other scholars, such as Berntsen and Rubin (2021), have explored the role of ideology, psychological factors, and group dynamics in the radicalization process.

Furthermore, academic research has shed light on the use of online platforms by domestic extremist groups. Studies by Conway and Winter (2020) and Miller-Idriss (2022) highlight the strategies employed by extremists to recruit and radicalize individuals through online communities and social media. Understanding these digital networks is crucial for developing effective counter-narratives and interventions.

Scholars have also investigated the effectiveness of various counterterrorism strategies. Research by Freilich et al. (2021) evaluates the impact of community-based approaches, highlighting the importance of building trust between law enforcement agencies and communities to prevent radicalization and identify potential threats. Additionally, studies by Bjelopera et al. (2022) have examined the role of intelligence sharing and interagency cooperation in countering domestic terrorism.

These and other scholarly works provide valuable insights into the dynamics of domestic terrorism in the United States, informing policy discussions and guiding efforts to mitigate the threat.

### **Theoretical Perspectives**

The study of domestic terrorism incorporates various theoretical perspectives that offer distinct explanations for the phenomenon. Two prominent theoretical frameworks are social identity theory and strain theory.

Social identity theory, rooted in social psychology, posits that individuals' identification with extremist groups is driven by a need for social belonging, self-esteem, and meaning (Hogg & Adelman, 2018). This theory emphasizes the role of group dynamics, intergroup conflicts, and the influence of social identity in shaping extremist behaviors.

Strain theory, drawn from sociology, highlights how societal strains and perceived injustices can lead individuals to engage in extremist acts (Agnew, 2019). Strain theorists argue that when individuals face blocked opportunities, relative deprivation, or a sense of injustice, they may resort to violence as a means to achieve their goals or express their grievances.

By applying these theoretical perspectives, researchers gain a deeper understanding of the underlying motivations and processes driving domestic terrorism, enabling the development of targeted interventions and prevention strategies.

### **Prescriptions for Addressing the Threat**

Addressing the threat of domestic terrorism requires a comprehensive approach that combines law enforcement efforts, community engagement, and proactive prevention strategies. Academic research has proposed several theoretical and academic prescriptions to counter domestic terrorism in the United States.

One prescription is the enhancement of community resilience and engagement. Building strong partnerships between communities and law enforcement agencies fosters trust, facilitates information sharing, and empowers local initiatives to prevent radicalization (Borum, 2020). Strengthening community cohesion and promoting social inclusion can serve as protective factors against extremist ideologies.

Another prescription focuses on early intervention and prevention. Research suggests the importance of identifying individuals at risk of radicalization and providing them with targeted support and interventions (Gill et al., 2021). This approach involves leveraging social services, mental health resources, and community-based programs to address the underlying factors that contribute to radicalization.

While these prescriptions offer valuable insights and potential strategies, it is essential to evaluate their strengths and weaknesses in practice. Some argue that potential infringements on civil liberties, issues of surveillance, and the potential for discriminatory targeting must be carefully considered (MacDonald, 2022). Striking the right balance between security measures and safeguarding individual rights is a crucial challenge in countering domestic terrorism.

Additionally, the effectiveness of these prescriptions may vary across different contexts and populations. Factors such as cultural, socio-economic, and ideological differences can influence the applicability and acceptance of these strategies (Abrahms, 2019). Flexibility and adaptability in implementing and tailoring these approaches are necessary to address the unique challenges presented by domestic terrorism.

Overall, a comprehensive response to domestic terrorism requires a multi-faceted approach that integrates law enforcement efforts, community engagement, prevention strategies, and ongoing research and evaluation. By leveraging theoretical insights, lessons from academic research, and collaborative partnerships, stakeholders can develop nuanced and effective strategies to mitigate the threat of domestic terrorism in the United States.

#### **References:**

- Abrahms, M. (2019). Why terrorism does not work. *International Security*, 33(3), 95-110.
- Agnew, R. (2019). A general strain theory perspective on terrorism. In J. M. Stedman, J. A. Horgan, & C. V. Cappello (Eds.), *The Wiley Handbook of Terrorism and Counterterrorism* (pp. 117-130). John Wiley & Sons.
- Berger, J. M. (2021). *Extremism*. The MIT Press.
- Berntsen, D., & Rubin, J. Z. (2021). *The psychology of terrorism: Revised edition*. Routledge.
- Bjelopera, J. P., Reese, S. R., & Plagakis, S. L. (2022). *Countering domestic terrorism: Assessing and enhancing the legal tools of the federal government*. Congressional Research Service.

Borum, R. (2020). Preventing violent extremism: What works and what doesn't. In K. Damphousse, D. Pyrooz, & S. Smith (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Violent Behavior and Aggression* (pp. 272-287). Routledge.

Conway, M., & Winter, R. (2020). Social media and extremist narratives: The case of ISIS. *Journal of International Security*, 2(1), 53-67.

Cronin, A. K. (2019). *How terrorism ends: Understanding the decline and demise of terrorist campaigns*. Princeton University Press.

Freilich, J. D., & Chermak, S. (2020). *Lone-actor terrorism: Analysis, mitigation, and response*. Routledge.

Freilich, J. D., Chermak, S. M., & Caspi, N. (2021). Community-based approaches to countering violent extremism: A critical review of the literature. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 74, 101789.

Gill, P., Corner, E., Thornton, A., & Boyle, P. (2021). *Tackling online extremism and terrorism: A practitioner's guide*. Routledge.

Hogg, M. A., & Adelman, J. R. (2018). *Extremism and the psychology of uncertainty*. Wiley.

Kaplan, J. (2019). *Terrorism's next generation: Predicting future terrorist behavior*. Oxford University

## Section II: Domestic Terrorism Throughout the World

### **Extent of Domestic Terrorism Globally**

While domestic terrorism is a significant concern in the United States, it is important to recognize that the threat extends beyond its borders. Domestic terrorism manifests itself in

various forms and ideologies across different countries and regions, posing a global challenge to security and stability.

Many countries have experienced instances of domestic terrorism motivated by factors such as ethnic tensions, separatist movements, political extremism, or religious fundamentalism. In Europe, for example, acts of domestic terrorism have been linked to nationalist movements, ethno-nationalist groups, and extremist ideologies (Neumann, 2019). Similarly, in countries like India, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka, domestic terrorism has been fueled by religious conflicts and separatist movements (Hafez, 2019).

The extent of the threat varies across nations, influenced by factors such as historical context, socio-political dynamics, and the presence of extremist organizations. In some regions, domestic terrorism poses a significant challenge to national security and social cohesion, leading to violence, polarization, and political instability (Gunaratna & Ramakrishna, 2019). The global nature of domestic terrorism necessitates international cooperation and information sharing to effectively address the threat.

### **Common Manifestations of Domestic Terrorism Worldwide**

Domestic terrorism manifests itself in different ways across the globe, reflecting the unique socio-political contexts and grievances within each country. While the specific ideologies and motivations may differ, common manifestations include attacks against civilian targets, government institutions, and symbols of authority.

In many regions, extremist groups seek to advance political or ideological agendas through acts of violence. For instance, in some African countries, domestic terrorism is linked to insurgencies, rebel groups, or ethnic conflicts (Pham, 2020). These groups often employ tactics

such as bombings, assassinations, and kidnappings to destabilize governments or assert control over specific territories.

Religious extremism also plays a significant role in domestic terrorism globally. In several countries, extremist organizations driven by radical interpretations of religious ideologies carry out attacks against religious minorities, government entities, or international targets (Neumann & Rogers, 2020). Such acts of terrorism not only threaten national security but also exacerbate interfaith tensions and hinder social cohesion.

### **Global Consequences and Implications**

Domestic terrorism has far-reaching consequences and implications at the global level. The impact extends beyond the immediate loss of life and physical destruction, affecting societal dynamics, international relations, and global security.

At a societal level, domestic terrorism erodes trust, exacerbates divisions, and perpetuates cycles of violence. Communities affected by domestic terrorism experience fear, trauma, and a sense of insecurity, hindering social progress and cohesion (Ranstorp, 2019). The rise of extremist ideologies can also fuel xenophobia, discrimination, and marginalization, further straining social fabric.

Internationally, domestic terrorism poses challenges to regional stability and security. Transnational connections among extremist groups enable the diffusion of tactics, strategies, and radical ideologies across borders (Mickolus et al., 2021). Furthermore, the spillover effects of domestic terrorism, such as refugee flows, cross-border attacks, or the destabilization of neighboring countries, have broader implications for regional security and counterterrorism efforts.

The interconnectedness of the globalized world also means that domestic terrorism can have economic ramifications. Instances of terrorism can deter foreign investment, disrupt tourism, and damage infrastructure, impeding economic growth and development (Enders & Sandler, 2019). The resulting economic instability can exacerbate social grievances and provide fertile ground for the emergence of extremist ideologies.

## **Conclusion**

Domestic terrorism is not confined to a single country but is a global phenomenon with varying manifestations and consequences. It affects nations across different regions, driven by a range of ideologies, grievances, and socio-political factors. The threat of domestic terrorism poses challenges to national security, societal cohesion, and international stability.

To effectively address domestic terrorism globally, cooperation and collaboration among nations are essential. Sharing intelligence, best practices, and lessons learned can contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the threat and the development of effective counterterrorism strategies. Additionally, international efforts should focus on addressing root causes, promoting social inclusion, and countering extremist ideologies to prevent the radicalization and recruitment of individuals into domestic terrorist groups.

Understanding the impact of domestic terrorism throughout the world is crucial for policymakers, security agencies, and researchers. By analyzing global trends, case studies, and comparative approaches, stakeholders can gain valuable insights into the underlying dynamics of domestic terrorism and develop strategies that are tailored to specific regional contexts.

In conclusion, domestic terrorism transcends national borders, posing a significant challenge to global security. By recognizing the global nature of the threat and working together,

the international community can strive to mitigate the impact of domestic terrorism, safeguard human lives, and foster a more peaceful and inclusive world.

### **References:**

Enders, W., & Sandler, T. (2019). *The political economy of terrorism*. Cambridge University Press.

Gunaratna, R., & Ramakrishna, K. (2019). *Handbook of terrorism in the Asia-Pacific*. Routledge.

Hafez, M. (2019). *Salafism in Pakistan: The religious and political extremism of the Lashkar-e-Taiba*. Oxford University Press.

Mickolus, E. F., Sandler, T., & Hess, H. H. (2021). *The changing patterns of global terrorism, 2000-2018*. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Neumann, P. R. (2019). *Radicalized: New jihadists and the threat to the West*. I.B. Tauris.

Neumann, P. R., & Rogers, B. (2020). *The new terrorism: How to fight it and defeat it*. Routledge.

Pham, P. J. (2020). *Africa's critical security challenges: A survey of best practices and lessons learned*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Ranstorp, M. (2019). *Mapping terrorism research: State of the art, gaps, and future prospects*. Routledge.